# CONTENTS

Acknowledgments page xiii					
Caveats xv					
Introduction 1					
I. The Puzzle 9					
II. The Approach 14					
III. Overview of the Argument 16					
IV. Plan of the Book 19					
PART ONE Judicial Accountability: Theoretical Framework					
1 The Concept of Judicial Accountability 25					
<ol> <li>Unpacking the Notion of Accountability</li> <li>30</li> </ol>					
II. Specifics of Judicial Accountability 36					
III. The Concept of Judicial Accountability 40					
IV. Why Judicial Accountability Matters? 59					
V. De Jure versus De Facto Judicial Accountability 65					
VI. Accountability Perversions 68					
2 Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability 73					
I. What Do Judges Maximize? 74					
<ul><li>II. What Is "In": Taxonomy of Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability 75</li></ul>					
<ul><li>III. What Is "Out": Contingent Circumstances of Judicial Accountability 92</li></ul>					
IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Recognition and					
Career Judiciaries 113					
3 Judicial Accountability and Judicial Councils 121					
I. The Rise of Judicial Councils and Their Effects 121					
<ul><li>II. The Judicial Council Euro-model of Court</li><li>Administration 126</li></ul>					
<ul><li>III. The Impact of the Judicial Council Euro-model on Judicial Accountability 136</li></ul>					
ix					

### PART TWO Holding Czech and Slovak Judges Accountable

4	Prologue to	the Case Studies: Methodology and	Data
	Reporting	145	

- I. Research Design of My Case Studies 145
- II. What Is Measured 149
- III. Data Collection 150
- IV. Method and Evaluation 152
- V. Potential Inaccuracies 155

### 5 The Czech Republic 158

- I. The Czech Judiciary in Context 158
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): TwoDecades of Calibrating the Ministry of Justice Model 181
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 187
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 215
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Czech Case Study 235

#### 6 Slovakia 236

- I. The Slovak Judiciary in Context 236
- II. Court Administration after the Split (1993–2010): The Road from the Ministry of Justice Model to the Judicial Council Euro-model 254
- III. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 1993 to 2002 264
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability from 2003 to 2010 299
- V. Overall Conclusion on the Slovak Case Study 333

# Evaluation: The Czech Republic and Slovakia Compared 334

- I. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 1993 and 2002 334
- II. Comparing Results from Slovakia and the Czech Republic between 2003 and 2010 347
- III. Effects of the Judicial Council Euro-model in Slovakia 361
- IV. Alternative Explanations 372

## PART THREE Conclusions and Implications

8 F	Perils of	<b>Judicial</b>	Self-Government	389
-----	-----------	-----------------	-----------------	-----

- I. Court Presidents: Invisible Masters of Central and Eastern European Judiciaries 390
- II. The Judicial Leadership Theory of Judicial Councils 398
- III. The Judicial Council Euro-model: Toward the System of Dependent Judges within an Independent Judiciary? 406
- IV. Mechanisms of Judicial Accountability in Transitional Societies 411
- V. Oversight of Judges: Why Fire Alarms Do Not Work? 422
- VI. Judicial Virtues Matter 428

Annex A. Court System of the Czech Republic 433 Annex B. Court System of Slovakia 435

Annex C. The Number of Judges in the Czech Republic and Slovakia (1993–2010) 437

Bibliography 439

Index 465